

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				▼		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6246		
10/665,325	09/18/2003	Hiroshi Takeda	OMC.0003D1US			
7590 12/30/2004			EXAMINER			
Trop, Pruner & Hu, P.C.			KING, BRADLEY T			
Suite 100 8554 Katy Free	eway	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Houston, TX 77024			3683			
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Application No. Applicant(s) 10/665,325 TAKEDA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Bradley T King 3683 MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

			Diadicy I failig		0000	
The MAILIN	IG DATE of this co	ommunication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 2 Therefore, further act final rejection under 3 condition for allowand Examination (RCE) ir	tion by the applica 37 CFR 1.113 may ce; (2) a timely file	int is required to av y <u>only</u> be either: (1) ed Notice of Appea	oid abandonmer a timely filed an	nt of this applic nendment which	ation. A proper replet by a polication at the state of th	y to a ation in
		PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either	er a) or b)]		
a) The period for	• • • ———					
no event, howe ONLY CHECK 706.07(f).	ever, will the statutory THIS BOX WHEN TH	period for reply expire I IE FIRST REPLY WAS	ater than SIX MONT FILED WITHIN TW	HS from the mailir O MONTHS OF T	n in the final rejection, whing date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time markee have been filed is the offee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) (2) as set forth in (b) abowtimely filed, may reduce an	date for purposes of d is calculated from: (1) e, if checked. Any rep	etermining the period of the expiration date of by received by the Office	of extension and the of the shortened statuto to later than three m	corresponding among period for reply	originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Ap 37 CFR 1.192(Appellant's on thereof (37 CFF				
2. The proposed	amendment(s) wi	ll not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) 🔲 they raise	new issues that v	vould require furthe	er consideration a	and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise	the issue of new	matter (see Note b	elow);			
	ot deemed to place appeal; and/or-	ce the application in	n better form for	appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	nplifying the
(d) they pres	ent additional clai	ms without canceli	ng a correspondi	ng number of	finally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: _	·					
3. ☐ Applicant's rep	ly has overcome	the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly propose canceling the □	d or amended cla non-allowable clai		be allowable if s	ubmitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidation in a		or c)⊠ request for rance because: <u>Se</u>			idered but does NO	T place the
6. ☐ The affidavit or raised by the B	exhibit will NOT l Examiner in the fir		ause it is not dire	cted SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7.⊠ For purposes of explanation of	of Appeal, the prop how the new or a	oosed amendment imended claims wo	(s) a)⊡ will not t ould be rejected i	be entered or be)⊠ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the	ne claim(s) is (or v	will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allow	ed:					
Claim(s) object	ted to:					
Claim(s) rejec						
Claim(s) withd	lrawn from consid	eration:				
8. The drawing co	orrection filed on _	is a)⊟ appr	oved or b) di	sapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attach	ned Information D	isclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	Paper No(s).		1
10. Other:					ROBERT A SIGNI PATENT EXAMI	1/2 12/28/04 15LF1 NEB

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is maintained that the annular discs 22a of Carlson are contained in a housing (not labeled, the band of metal surrounding and holding the two halves 22a in figure 4). Applicant's arguments regarding the different function of the discs is narrower than the claim language. Regarding the holding of the magnets and th position of the fluid, see the response to arguments of the last office action.